



Space Station Power Generation in Support of the Beta Gimbal Anomaly Resolution

Ann M. Delleur
Glenn Research Center, Cleveland, Ohio

Timothy W. Propp
The Boeing Company—International Space Station, Houston, Texas

Prepared for the
41st Aerospace Sciences Meeting and Exhibit
sponsored by the American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics
Reno, Nevada, January 6–9, 2003

National Aeronautics and
Space Administration

Glenn Research Center

Acknowledgments

Many people have made significant contributions to the BGA ART. Although there is no way to acknowledge everyone, the authors wish to recognize the following core members of the BGA ART whose diligent work we had the challenging job of summarizing in an 11 page technical paper: George Gafka, Kevin Meehan, Mark Mulqueen, Chad Blodget, Scott West, Dave McCann, Robert Davis, Chuck Haynes, Robert Puckett, Caryn Preston, Carlos Pagan, Bob Levy, Curt Carlton, Ed Mohr, and Tony Delucia. The authors would also like to thank Dave McKissock and Jeff Hojnicky for their invaluable assistance, and the GRC EPS analysis team for their peer reviews during the BGA ART process.

Available from

NASA Center for Aerospace Information
7121 Standard Drive
Hanover, MD 21076

National Technical Information Service
5285 Port Royal Road
Springfield, VA 22100

Available electronically at <http://gltrs.grc.nasa.gov>

SPACE STATION POWER GENERATION IN SUPPORT OF THE BETA GIMBAL ANOMALY RESOLUTION

Ann M. Delleur

National Aeronautics and Space Administration
Glenn Research Center
Cleveland, Ohio 44135

Timothy W. Propp

The Boeing Company—International Space Station
Houston, Texas 77059

ABSTRACT

The International Space Station (ISS) is the largest and most complex spacecraft ever assembled and operated in orbit. The first U.S. photovoltaic (PV) module, containing two solar arrays, was launched, installed, and activated in early December 2000. After the first week of continuously rotating the U.S. solar arrays, engineering personnel in the ISS Mission Evaluation Room (MER) observed higher than expected electrical currents on the drive motor in one of the Beta Gimbal Assemblies (BGA), the mechanism used to maneuver a U.S. solar array. The magnitude of the motor currents continued to increase over time on both BGA's, creating concerns about the ability of the gimbals to continue pointing the solar arrays towards the sun, a function critical for continued assembly of the ISS. A number of engineering disciplines convened in May 2001 to address this on-orbit hardware anomaly. This paper reviews the ISS electrical power system (EPS) analyses performed to develop viable operational workarounds that would minimize BGA use while maintaining sufficient solar array power to continue assembly of the ISS. Additionally, EPS analyses performed in support of on-orbit BGA troubleshooting exercises is reviewed. EPS capability analyses were performed using SPACE, a computer code developed by NASA Glenn Research Center (GRC) for the ISS program office.

INTRODUCTION

When fully assembled, the U.S. EPS will include four PV modules¹ that will be capable of producing a combined average power output of 75kW. The arrays power not only electrical loads when the station is in the sun, but also charge batteries that supply the station's power demands in eclipse. To maximize the power available for core system electrical loads, unique ISS assembly activities, and scientific experiments, the arrays need to be pointed towards the sun throughout the sunlit period of the orbit (insolation). Continuous sun pointing is accomplished via target positions supplied to the BGA's by the on-board Guidance, Navigation, and Control (GN&C) system. The BGA target positions are updated once every second by the GN&C software.

In the early ISS assembly configuration, as depicted in Figure 1, the solar arrays on the first U.S. PV module, designated P6, can only rotate in one axis. The solar arrays, designated PV (or Channel) 2B and PV 4B, are electrically isolated. The percentage of the maximum solar array power available for electrical loads can be approximated by the cosine of the sun incidence angle. This angle is measured between the normal to the active surface of the array and the sun vector. The magnitude of the sun incidence angle is influenced by three factors: the vehicle orientation (or attitude), the array position, and the solar β angle, which is the angle measured between the sun vector and the orbit plane (see Figure 2).




FIGURE 1 - LOCATION OF THE GIMBALS THAT ROTATE THE U.S. PHOTOVOLTAIC ARRAYS




FIGURE 2 – SOLAR β ANGLE

The ISS generally orbits in one of two attitudes: Xvz Nadir or XPOP. In the Xvz Nadir attitude (see Figure 3), the ISS +X-axis points in the direction of the velocity vector, while the ISS +Z-axis points nadir. In this attitude, the BGA's rotate each solar array through a full 360° sweep about its longitudinal axis once every orbit, 16 orbits per day, to track the sun. When the ISS is flying in this Xvz Nadir attitude, the power generated from the P6 solar arrays decreases as the magnitude of the solar β angle increases. This occurs because the sun incidence angle on the arrays increases with increasing solar β angle.



FIGURE 3 – ILLUSTRATION OF THE ISS ORBITING IN THE Xvz NADIR FLIGHT ATTITUDE

In the XPOP attitude (see Figure 4), the ISS X-axis remains pointed in a direction perpendicular to the orbit plane. The XPOP attitude is more conducive for power generation because the sun incidence angle remains nearly perpendicular to the active surface (front side) of the P6 solar arrays throughout the orbit. In this attitude, the BGA's travel approximately 4.5° per day, which is roughly equivalent to the daily rate of change of the solar β angle.



FIGURE 4 – XPOP FLIGHT ATTITUDE

BETA GIMBAL ASSEMBLY ANOMALY

The BGA provides two critical capabilities to the ISS: 1) transfer of electrical power across a rotating joint; and 2) positioning of the solar arrays. The primary component of the BGA, illustrated in Figure 5, is the Bearing Motor Roll Ring Module (BMRRM). The BMRRM contains a unique bearing assembly that, prior to the ISS, has never been operated in space. Because

of this, it is difficult to determine what constitutes an anomalous motor current signature.




FIGURE 5 – BETA GIMBAL ASSEMBLY

Industry standards state that the system should operate below 25% of full capability for average current, and below 50% of full capability for peaks. The BMRRM motor can supply a maximum output current of 1.5 amperes. Applying the industry standards, a BMRRM motor current < 0.38 amperes is considered an average or nominal value, while a BMRRM motor current > 0.75 amperes is considered anomalous and is often referred to in the BGA community as a motor current “spike”.




FIGURE 6 – CH. 4B BMRRM MOTOR CURRENT (AMPS) OVER 5.5 HOUR PERIOD - PERFORMANCE AFTER 20 CUMULATIVE ROTATIONS

During the majority of the first month of operations, the BGA's remained parked in a stationary position that was selected to optimize power generation. The arrays were not required to rotate because the U.S. power demands were low. The primary incentive for parking the solar arrays was to reduce the drag on the vehicle. As a result, the rate of degradation of the ISS altitude was decreased, and on-orbit propellant supplies were preserved. The electrical loads increased significantly with the installation of the U.S. Laboratory module Destiny in January 2001. The power system began to rely more heavily on the BGA's to rotate when the ISS was orbiting in the Xvv Znadir attitude, allowing the P6 solar arrays to provide the power necessary to meet the increased electrical load demands. After one week of continuous rotation, engineering support personnel in

the ISS MER observed higher than expected electrical currents on the drive motor in the Channel 4B BMRRM. The 4B BMRRM performance steadily decreased over the next four months, as the frequency of excursions over 0.5 amperes increased with time (see Figure 7).




FIGURE 7 – CH. 4B BMRRM MOTOR CURRENT (AMPS) OVER 5 HOUR PERIOD - PERFORMANCE AFTER 635 CUMULATIVE ROTATIONS

In early May 2001, the 4B BMRRM experienced the first motor current in excess of 1.0 amperes. This was a significant milestone because it indicated the BGA motor needed two-thirds of its maximum capability to maintain rotation. At the same time, the Channel 2B BMRRM, which had been operating nominally, began experiencing motor currents in excess of 0.5 amperes.

After 1200 cumulative rotations, the 4B BMRRM began to periodically stall. A stall event (see Figure 8) occurs whenever the BMRRM velocity goes to zero and the position error (error = actual position – commanded position) exceeds 10 degrees for more than three minutes. The maximum current the motor is allowed to draw during a stall condition is 1.1 amperes.




FIGURE 8 – CH. 4B BMRRM MOTOR CURRENT (AMPS) PERFORMANCE DURING A STALL EVENT (5.5 HOURS)

To recover from a stall event, Electrical Systems Flight Controllers in the Mission Control Center (MCC) command the BMRRM motor back on, rotate the array backwards, then continue forward motion. During the five-month period of January through May 2001, the motor current performance of both BGA's remained pristine when the ISS was orbiting in the XPOP attitude (see Figure 9).

Neither BGA has ever become stuck, unable to move in either direction with a motor current of 1.1 amperes applied. The ISS MER mechanisms experts believe a stuck BGA is an unlikely event because of the available

0.4 ampere motor current margin and the history of successful stall recoveries.




FIGURE 9 – CH. 4B BMRRM MOTOR CURRENT (AMPS) PERFORMANCE IN THE XPOF ATTITUDE (24 HOURS)

Early projections of the motor current trends indicated frequent stalls could be realized within 6 months. The ISS program office became concerned about the feasibility of continuing the assembly of the ISS using degraded BGA's, primarily because the majority of assembly missions occur during solar β periods that require the ISS to fly in the Xvv Znadir attitude. A BGA Anomaly Resolution Team (ART) was formed in early May 2001, and consisted of three sub-teams: 1) root cause team, 2) operations team, and 3) BMRRM remove & replace (R&R) team.

The root cause team coordinated several on-orbit and ground tests in an attempt to isolate the root cause but results were inconclusive. Meanwhile the BMRRM R&R team identified several risks associated with an on-orbit replacement of a BMRRM. The primary risks were: 1) hardware survival would be threatened with nearly all of one U.S. power channel shut down during the R&R, as several unpowered components were predicted to exceed lower temperature limits within 6-10 hours; 2) thermal gradients and fit tolerance issues would complicate successful installation of a new BMRRM or re-installation of the old BMRRM; and 3) precise choreography of both intra- and extra-vehicular activity (IVA and EVA) as well as ground personnel activities would be required for a successful R&R. In October 2001, the ISS program decided against a BMRRM R&R, primarily because the consequences of a failed BMRRM R&R could be extremely high, possibly leaving the ISS to operate on one power channel and at reduced functionality because of potential hardware failures. The BGA ART was strongly encouraged to identify and implement viable operational techniques to reduce rotations on the BGA's to the greatest extent possible while minimizing impacts to continued ISS assembly and scientific experiments. The scope of the effort to reduce rotations extends for roughly two years, at which time both P6 solar arrays will be stowed until the PV module is relocated to an outboard position on a future ISS assembly mission.

POWER GENERATION ANALYSES

The BGA ART operations team coordinated the efforts within the ISS program to identify feasible options for reducing BGA rotations while continuing to support on-orbit troubleshooting. Toward this end, a group of ISS power resource experts convened to begin determining the projected U.S. segment power demands and power generation capabilities for a defined set of operational scenarios. The ISS EPS analysis team at NASA's Glenn Research Center (GRC) used System Power Analysis for Capability Evaluation (SPACE)^{2,3,4} to predict the EPS power generation capabilities for each of the proposed operational scenarios, covering a range of ISS stages, flight attitudes, array control techniques, and solar β angles. Four of the operational scenarios and one of the test conditions that were analyzed will be reviewed in this paper.

Scenario 1: PV 4B BGA Parked in Xvv Znadir

An early goal for the BGA ART operations team was to minimize rotations on the Channel 4B BGA. With the ISS still in the early stages of assembly, it was hoped that the U.S. electrical loads could be managed such that the Channel 4B BGA could be parked for extended periods of time when the ISS was in the Xvv Znadir attitude. GRC personnel used SPACE to generate a set of power generation capabilities as a function of parked solar array position across the nominal Xvv Znadir solar β range (see Figure 10).

Using this data, the ISS power resource experts on the BGA ART operations team determined that by transferring a subset of Channel 4B electrical loads to Channel 2B, from one array to the other (see Figure 1), only one BGA must be able to reliably rotate to successfully complete the next three assembly missions. Beyond that, two reliable BGA's would be required to continue assembly of the ISS. This was a significant finding for two reasons: 1) the Channel 4B BGA could remain parked, thus reducing the risk of further degradation in the BMRRM; and 2) it provided the root cause and BMRRM R&R teams additional time to work their issues before ISS program management was scheduled to reconvene to make a decision regarding the R&R of the Channel 4B BMRRM.




FIGURE 10 – PARKED SOLAR ARRAY POWER GENERATION AT VARIOUS SOLAR β ANGLES AND BGA PARK ANGLES

Scenario 2: PV 4B BGA Constant Rotation in XPOP - Test Condition

With the next ISS assembly mission just over one month away and no root cause identified, the root cause team continued to plan and execute on-orbit tests on the Channel 4B BGA. Unfortunately, the solar β regime at that time required the ISS to fly in the XPOP attitude to maintain positive energy balance. The BGA is not required to travel more than a few degrees in either direction when the vehicle is orbiting in the XPOP orientation. To assist the root cause team's troubleshooting efforts, the ISS power resource experts were asked to formulate a plan that would rotate the 4B BGA at a fixed orbital rate of $\sim 4^\circ/\text{minute}$ in XPOP while maintaining positive energy balance on the ISS. A rate of $\sim 4^\circ/\text{minute}$ was selected because the BGA's normally move at that speed when tracking the sun in the Xvz Znadir attitude. To implement this request, one of the BGA software control modes, namely Rate Mode, was utilized to control the movement of the BGA at a commanded rate. SPACE was used to determine how to optimize power generation capabilities for Rate Mode operations in XPOP. The analyses indicated that power generation could be maximized if the solar array rotation were synchronized such that the active face (front side) of the array pointed directly towards the sun when the vehicle arrived at orbit noon. Using this technique, the active face of the array will generate most of the available power during insolation. Power is also generated from direct solar illumination of the backside⁵, and from albedo illumination on both the front and backsides⁶ of the array. As a general rule of thumb, the backside of the U.S. PV arrays produces approximately 1/3 the power of the front side for equivalent sun incidence angles.

The expected electrical load demand on Channel 4B during the XPOP rate mode test was ~ 4.5 kW, and on Channel 2B ~ 6.4 kW. Figure 11 shows the results of the power balance analysis. Positive power margins were realized across the solar β range of interest for the proposed test. The data was presented to the BGA ART on May 23rd, 2001, and the XPOP 4B BGA rate mode test was successfully executed two days later.




FIGURE 11 – POWER GENERATION AND EXPECTED LOAD DEMAND FOR CH 4B XPOP RATE MODE TEST WITH CH 2B PARKED

Scenario 3: BGA Back-Drive Prior to Thermal Blanket Installation

In July 2001, the root cause team called on the ISS passive thermal analysis team to assess the possibility of thermal gradients contributing to the apparent friction in the BMRRM bearing mechanisms. The results of the thermal analyses led the BGA ART to propose building and installing a thermal blanket around the BGA's to provide a more thermally stable operating environment for the gimbals and attempt to improve their overall motor current performance. ISS program management approved the thermal blanket proposal in late October 2001, and the blanket installation was scheduled for an EVA on the next ISS assembly mission in late November 2001.

The lead Power Resource Officer (PRO) on the Electrical Systems Flight Control Team requested assistance from the BGA ART operations team in analyzing power generation capabilities for the two leading operational scenarios proposed for installing the BGA thermal blankets. The basic plan was to rotate both solar arrays backwards 360° at $10^\circ/\text{minute}$, then continue to rotate in the reverse direction until each BGA had reached a pre-determined park position

required to provide adequate clearances at the EVA worksites. At the conclusion of the 4 hour EVA to install the blankets, the arrays would be further rotated backwards to a new “thermal hold” park position that optimized power generation. The thermal hold would consist of 1 insolation pass on Channel 2B and 3 insolation passes on Channel 4B. Figure 12 illustrates the time-phased BGA position profile for Case 1, in which the backwards rotation is initiated at orbital sunset.




FIGURE 12 – BGA POSITION FOR BGA BLANKET INSTALLATION EVA CASE 1: BEGIN REVERSE ROTATION AT ORBIT SUNSET. (GRAY BARS DENOTE ECLIPSE)

NASA GRC personnel used SPACE to generate view factors for each case. The view factors for direct solar illumination are illustrated in Figure 13. The additional backwards rotation on the Channel 2B BGA, following the initial 360° reverse rotation, resulted in no illumination on the front side of the PV 2B array for half of the first insolation period.




FIGURE 13 – CASE 1 SOLAR VIEW FACTORS (ALBEDO VIEW FACTORS NOT SHOWN - GRAY BARS DENOTE ECLIPSE)

Figure 14 illustrates the time-phased BGA position profile for Case 2, in which the backwards rotation is initiated at orbital sunrise. Case 2 results in an additional back-drive of ~50°-100°, further “resetting” the BGA performance prior to the blanket installation.




FIGURE 14 – BGA POSITION BGA BLANKET INSTALLATION EVA CASE 2: BEGIN REVERSE ROTATION AT BEGINNING OF INSOLATION. (GRAY BARS DENOTE ECLIPSE)

The direct solar illumination view factors for Case 2 are illustrated in Figure 15. The view factors indicate that, when compared to Case 1, starting the 360° backward rotation at the beginning of insolation leads to significantly more cosine losses on both the front and backsides of the array.




FIGURE 15 – CASE 2 SOLAR VIEW FACTORS (ALBEDO VIEW FACTORS NOT SHOWN - GRAY BARS DENOTE ECLIPSE)

Each P6 electrical channel is outfitted with 3 76-cell Nickel Hydrogen batteries. The nameplate capacity of an ISS battery is 81 Amp-Hours. The computer that controls the P6 electrical systems computes a battery state of charge (SOC) once every second for each of the three batteries. The magnitude of the SOC is an

indication of the percentage of Amp-Hours remaining in a battery at any given time. NASA GRC personnel used SPACE to generate predicted battery SOC's during the blanket install EVA. The results are illustrated in Figure 16. The analysis assumed electrical loads of 6 kW on Channel 2B before and after the EVA, and 7 kW during the 4 hour EVA. Channel 4B had a constant applied load of 5.6 kW. The impact of deferring the start of the back-drive operation until insolation is evident in the Channel 2B Case 2 SOC profile. The loss of power generation capability during the 360° reverse rotation in insolation prevented the 2B batteries from fully recharging before the ISS entered eclipse. The 2B batteries did not become fully recharged until the middle of the 2nd insolation pass. The battery SOC profile is also impacted by the timeline of the solar array repositioning for BGA thermal conditioning. Case 2 reorients the solar arrays during insolation, whereas Case 1 completes the repositioning just after orbital dawn. The predicted battery SOC performance for Case 1 is less desirable because the solar array is significantly off-pointed just after eclipse, forcing the batteries to continue to discharge to meet the ISS electrical loads.




FIGURE 16 – BATTERY STATE OF CHARGE ON CHANNELS 2B AND 4B FOR CASE 1 AND CASE 2 (GRAY BARS DENOTE ECLIPSE)

The Electrical Systems Flight Controllers selected the Case 1 operational scenario for the BGA blanket installation because of the more favorable Channel 2B battery SOC performance early in the EVA. Post-flight analysis showed a significant reduction in the magnitude of the thermally induced cyclic motor current signature, but the thermal blankets did not resolve the overall anomaly.

Scenario 4: Low Solar β XPOP

Electrical Systems Flight Controllers had been able to keep the PV 4B BGA parked during the majority of the Xvv Znadir flight periods through November 2001, but the PV 2B BGA continued to sun-track due to the magnitude of the electrical load demands on that channel. The failure of the BGA thermal blankets to resolve the motor current performance anomalies resulted in a paradigm shift in the BGA ART from engineering solutions to operational workarounds aimed at reducing cumulative travel on both BGA's. The two leading candidates for operational workarounds were 1) expand existing flight attitudes, and 2) develop new solar array control techniques to be used in combination with electrical load management. Because the BGA's continued to perform nominally in the XPOP flight attitude, the operations teams efforts became focused on expanding the certified XPOP attitude envelope beyond $|\text{solar } \beta| > 37^\circ$ (see Figure 17).




FIGURE 17 – EXPANSION OF XPOP ATTITUDE ENVELOPE TO LOW SOLAR β ANGLES

As noted earlier, the XPOP attitude is the most favorable attitude for power generation from the P6 solar arrays. The initial goal was to expand the envelope all the way to solar $\beta = 0^\circ$. However, GN&C analysts reported that to fly XPOP below $|\text{solar } \beta| < 10^\circ$ long term, several hundred kilograms of ISS propellant would be expended to maintain an acceptable vehicle pitch angle. Using an expanded XPOP envelope of $|\text{solar } \beta| > 10^\circ$, the operations team estimated savings of 1000 rotations on the PV 4B BGA and 2000 rotations on the PV 2B BGA. An on-orbit low solar β XPOP test was executed November 9-16, 2001, with no unacceptable core systems impacts identified for the U.S. or Russian segments of the ISS. In January 2002,

ISS program management approved the BGA ART's recommendation to implement low solar β XPOP as soon as possible. Unfortunately, implementation of low solar β XPOP also created issues for scientific payloads designed specifically for the Xvv Znadir attitude. Expanding the XPOP envelope from $|\beta| > 37^\circ$ to $|\beta| > 10^\circ$ reduces the time spent in Xvv Znadir from ~60% of the year down to ~15% of the year, significantly reducing opportunities for experiments requiring the Xvv Znadir attitude.

Scenario 5: Development of the Dual Angle Concept

By June 2001, the root cause team had identified two possible data trends: 1) long duration uni-directional BGA motion appeared to exacerbate the high motor current anomaly; and 2) the motor current performance appeared to return to nominal levels after reversing the direction of the BGA, commonly referred to in the BGA ART as back-driving. Taking these observations into consideration, the BGA ART developed an operational concept that would reduce cumulative BGA travel by reducing the range of travel (or sweep interval), incorporate a back-drive operation, and improve the system's robustness against a stalled or stuck BGA. This operational concept became known as the Dual Angle Mode. This technique is unique in that should the BGA stall or become stuck, the solar array position is guaranteed to be within a range of sun-facing positions when the vehicle is in insolation, thus minimizing the potential impacts to power generation.




FIGURE 18 – THE DUAL ANGLE MODE OPERATIONAL CONCEPT (90° AND 60° SWEEP)

To illustrate the implementation of the Dual Angle Mode concept, the following example is provided for a 90° sweep interval (see Figure 18):

- Beginning at orbit dawn until 45° before orbit noon, the array is parked such that at 45° before orbit noon the array is normal to the sun;
- From 45° before orbit noon to 45° after orbit noon, the array tracks the sun;
- Then, from 45° after orbit noon until orbit sunset, the array is parked;
- Finally, during orbit eclipse, the array is returned to its starting position by back-driving the BGA through the beta gimbal sweep traversed in insolation.

The percentage reduction in cumulative BGA travel is a function of the magnitude of the Dual Angle Mode sweep interval. For example, in comparison to a BGA operating in sun-tracking mode, total BGA travel is reduced by 50% if a 90° sweep is implemented and by 67% if a 60° sweep is implemented.

A peer review of the Dual Angle Mode concept indicated the technique had merit. The BGA ART operations team then had to prove that the Dual Angle Mode technique could provide adequate power generation capabilities in the Xvv Znadir flight attitude. GRC personnel used SPACE to determine power generation capabilities for a 90° and a 60° sweep interval for $|\text{solar } \beta| < 75^\circ$ (see Figure 22). The SPACE results proved that the Dual Angle Mode technique could generate 85%-90% of the power realized from a sun-tracking solar array in the nominal ISS Xvv Znadir solar β regime ($|\text{solar } \beta| < 37^\circ$).

By Spring 2002, the conflicts between low solar β XPOP and ISS payloads needs for Xvv Znadir had not been resolved, so the BGA ART turned to a second alternative for reducing BGA rotations, namely the Dual Angle Mode concept described above. A 16-orbit Dual Angle Mode test was successfully executed on the Channel 4B BGA on May 7-8, 2002. The success of the initial test on the Channel 4B BGA provided the incentive to pursue a longer duration Dual Angle Mode test. The Electrical Systems Flight Control Team in the Mission Control Center (MCC) became concerned that a long duration test would become too operator intensive, requiring the team to reset the BGA target angles in the software buffer once every 1-2 days to correct for possible solar array off-pointing caused by target angle walk-off over time. GRC personnel used SPACE to estimate the amount of power availability that could be lost over time using a single set of BGA target angles across a solar β range of +28° to -28°. The results, as seen in Figure 19, indicated the worst case loss would be 400 Watts out of a total capability of 10 kW. This analysis proved that a single set of BGA

target angles could be used for Dual Angle Mode tests lasting as long as 11 days with only a 4% loss in total power generation capability.

Solar Beta Angle	Solar Beta Pointing Used	Power (kW)		Delta Power (kW)		Array Conditions	
		2B	4B	2B	4B	2B	4B
-28	beta=-28 beta=0 beta=+28	10.7 10.7 10.4	10.2 10.3 10.0	0.0 -0.3	0.0 -0.2	Dual Angle Mode 90 deg sweep and tracking about solar noon	
0	beta=-28 beta=0 beta=+28	11.7 11.9 11.7	11.7 11.8 11.6	-0.2 -0.2	-0.1 -0.2		
28	beta=-28 beta=0 beta=+28	10.1 10.5 10.5	10.5 10.8 10.7	-0.4 0.0	-0.2 0.1		

FIGURE 19 – ESTIMATED POWER GENERATION DROPOFF USING A SINGLE SET OF BGA TARGET ANGLES FOR A LONG DURATION DUAL ANGLE MODE TEST (90° SWEEP)

More extensive Dual Angle Mode tests were executed successfully on the Channel 2B BGA on June 17-23 and July 10-15, 2002. The BGA motor current performance observed during the initial test phase was similar to that observed during early BGA operations with an occasional excursion over 0.5 amperes (see Figure 20), but with time the performance degraded (see Figure 21). After reviewing the test data, ISS program management declared the BGA performance was acceptable and approved the Dual Angle Mode technique for future on-orbit operations.




FIGURE 20 – CHANNEL 4B BGA MOTOR CURRENT (AMPS) PERFORMANCE DURING DUAL ANGLE MODE TESTING (24 HOURS, MAY 7-8)




FIGURE 21 – CHANNEL 2B BGA MOTOR CURRENT (AMPS) PERFORMANCE DURING DUAL ANGLE MODE TESTING (30 HOURS, JUNE 21-23)

Power Generation Comparison

Figure 22 summarizes the U.S. segment power balance for a set of five consecutive ISS assembly missions scheduled between December 2001 and December 2002. XPOP is the preferred operational flight attitude because it produces the most robust power generation capabilities across the solar β regime and because it significantly reduces cumulative BGA travel. In Xv Znadir, Rate Mode is able to support the electrical loads for $|\text{solar } \beta| < 37^\circ$, but induces the most wear on the BGA. On the other hand, Dual Angle Mode provides power generation adequate to support electrical loads for $|\text{solar } \beta| < 25^\circ$, and reduces cumulative BGA travel by at least 50%. A solar array parked in a position optimized for power generation is able to support only those loads critical for maintaining steady-state ISS functionality, such as GN&C, thermal control, and life support systems. At this point, all payloads will be powered down. Finally, the ISS and the on-orbit crew can survive a worst case stuck BGA, but will be significantly handicapped because of the reduced power availability.

As of October 2002, XPOP is usually flown for $|\text{solar } \beta| > 30^\circ$. For $|\text{solar } \beta| < 30^\circ$, the Dual Angle mode is implemented in Xv Znadir as often as energy balance and operational plans permit. Otherwise, the solar arrays track the sun throughout the orbit.




FIGURE 22 – POWER DEMAND PER CHANNEL AND POWER GENERATION PER CHANNEL

SUMMARY AND CONCLUDING REMARKS

The on-orbit BGA motor current anomalies introduced a significant threat to the continued assembly of the ISS. A multi-faceted team was formed to address root cause, BMRRM R&R, and operations issues. Over the course of a year, the operations team developed successful methods of managing ISS energy balance while supporting the root cause team’s troubleshooting efforts and the overall team goal of minimizing cumulative BGA travel. At no time were the nominal

ISS science objectives jeopardized. The operations team successfully negotiated a few short duration periods of low solar β XPOP in the Fall of 2002. And the Dual Angle technique continues to be used successfully in the absence of low solar β XPOP. The BGA ART faces additional challenges to reduce BGA rotations in the future as the ISS electrical loads continue to increase with each assembly mission. However, the team is confident that those challenges can be overcome by developing and implementing innovative operational techniques such as those described in this paper.

REFERENCES

1. Fortenberry, L., Bartoe, J.F., Gerstenmaier, W.H., 2002, "International Space Station—ISS Assembly Progress and Future Activities," IAF-01-T1.01, 53rd International Astronautical Congress, The World Space Congress—2002, Houston, Texas, October 10–19, 2002.
2. Hojnicky, J.S., Kerslake, T.W., 1993, "Space Station Freedom Electrical Performance Model," NASA TM–106395, 28th IECEC, Atlanta, Georgia, August 8–13, 1993.
3. Kerslake, T.W., Hojnicky, J.S., 1993, "System Performance Predictions for Space Station Freedom's Electrical Power System," NASA TM–106396, 28th IECEC, Atlanta, Georgia, August 8–13, 1993.
4. Jannette, A.G., Hojnicky, J.S., McKissock, D.B., Fincannon, J., Kerslake, T.W., Rodriguez, C.D., "Validation of International Space Station electrical Performance Model via On-Orbit Telemetry," 37th IECEC, Washington DC, July 28–August 2, 2002.
5. Delleur, A.M., Kerslake, T.W., 2002, "Electrical Performance of the International Space Station U.S. Photovoltaic Array During Bifacial Illumination," NASA TM—2002–211724 37th IECEC, Washington, DC, July 28–August 2, 2002.
6. Delleur, A.M., Kerslake, T.W., Schieman, D., 1999 "Analysis of Direct Solar Illumination of the Backside of Space Station Solar Cells," NASA TM—1999–209377 33rd IECEC, Vancouver, B.C., August 1–6, 1999.

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE*Form Approved*
OMB No. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.

1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)		2. REPORT DATE January 2003	3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED Technical Memorandum	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Space Station Power Generation in Support of the Beta Gimbal Anomaly Resolution			5. FUNDING NUMBERS WBS-22-575-15-69	
6. AUTHOR(S) Ann M. Delleur and Timothy W. Propp				
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) National Aeronautics and Space Administration John H. Glenn Research Center at Lewis Field Cleveland, Ohio 44135-3191			8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER E-13701	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) National Aeronautics and Space Administration Washington, DC 20546-0001			10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER NASA TM-2003-212012 AIAA-2003-0758	
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Prepared for the 41st Aerospace Sciences Meeting and Exhibit sponsored by the American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Reno, Nevada, January 6-9, 2003. Ann M. Delleur, NASA Glenn Research Center; Timothy W. Propp, The Boeing Company—International Space Station, 13100 Space Center Boulevard, Houston, Texas 77059. Responsible person, Ann M. Delleur, organization code 6920, 216-433-5519.				
12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Unclassified - Unlimited Subject Categories: 15, 18, 20, 33, and 66 Distribution: Nonstandard Available electronically at http://gltrs.grc.nasa.gov This publication is available from the NASA Center for AeroSpace Information, 301-621-0390.			12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE	
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) The International Space Station (ISS) is the largest and most complex spacecraft ever assembled and operated in orbit. The first U.S. photovoltaic (PV) module, containing two solar arrays, was launched, installed, and activated in early December 2000. After the first week of continuously rotating the U.S. solar arrays, engineering personnel in the ISS Mission Evaluation Room (MER) observed higher than expected electrical currents on the drive motor in one of the Beta Gimbal Assemblies (BGA), the mechanism used to maneuver a U.S. solar array. The magnitude of the motor currents continued to increase over time on both BGA's, creating concerns about the ability of the gimbals to continue pointing the solar arrays towards the sun, a function critical for continued assembly of the ISS. A number of engineering disciplines convened in May 2001 to address this on-orbit hardware anomaly. This paper reviews the ISS electrical power system (EPS) analyses performed to develop viable operational workarounds that would minimize BGA use while maintaining sufficient solar array power to continue assembly of the ISS. Additionally, EPS analyses performed in support of on-orbit BGA troubleshooting exercises is reviewed. EPS capability analyses were performed using SPACE, a computer code developed by NASA Glenn Research Center (GRC) for the ISS program office.				
14. SUBJECT TERMS International Space Station; Power generation; Performance modeling; Beta gimbal assembly; Anomaly resolution; Dual angle mode; Rate mode			15. NUMBER OF PAGES 17	
			16. PRICE CODE	
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT Unclassified	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE Unclassified	19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT Unclassified	20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	